

TO: Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board

FROM: Madison Driscoll, Assistant Planner DATE: April 21, 2021

SUBJECT: Certified Local Governments Program, 2019-2020 Report

#### **SUMMARY**

As part of the maintenance of CLG Programs, the State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) requires all local historic preservation boards or commissions review annual reports prior to being submitted to OHP. Staff recommends that the Board review the 2019-2020 report, recommend any suggested changes, and forward to OHP.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board approve the report and direct staff to submit the report to the State Office of Historic Preservation (OHP).

#### **ATTACHMENT**

Certified Local Government Program (CLG) 2019-2020 Annual Report (Draft)

#### **DISTRIBUTION**

ARHB members
Brendan Vieg, Community Development Director
Bruce Ambo, Principle Planner
Mike Sawley, Principle Planner

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

**INSTRUCTIONS:** This a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened.

Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to <u>Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov</u>. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select Create and Attach to Email. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

#### Name of CLG

City of Chico

Report Prepared by: Madison Driscoll Date of commission/board review: April 21, 2021

#### MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATION

#### I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

#### A. Preservation Laws

- 1. What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals. **REMINDER**: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status. None
- 2. Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal/zoning code. https://codelibrary.amlegal.com/codes/chico/latest/chico\_ca/0-0-0-15637

## B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated under local ordinance, HPOZ, etc.)

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2019 – September 30, 2020, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder
Gothic Revival House 1386 Humboldt Avenue	4/7/2020 (1/30/20 ARHPB)	N/A	N/A

**REMINDER**: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, "the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof."

2. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors?

Property Name/Address	Date Removed	Reason
None	N/A	N/A

#### C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

element. https://chico.ca.us/sites/main/files/file-

1.	Do you address historic preservation in your general plan? ⊠ Yes, in a separate historic preservation element.	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ Yes, it is included in another element.</li></ul>
Pro	ovide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) o	f the General Plan or to the separate historic preservation

attachments/11.\_cultural\_resources\_and\_historic\_preservation\_element.pdf?1593458910

### D. Review Responsibilities

1. W	/ho take:	s responsibili	y for de	esign review	or Certificates	of A	Appropriaten	ess?
------	-----------	----------------	----------	--------------	-----------------	------	--------------	------

_	A 11									41			
1 1	ΔII	nrol	IDATE	SHID	IDCT.	tΛ	MASIMN	review	$\alpha \alpha$	the	comn	กเจจเก	n
_	/ 111	$\rho_1 \sigma$	JOULU	Jub	COL	w	acsign	ICVICVV	90	uic	COLLIN	113310	

⊠ Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? Staff determines if a project is exempt from the Certificate of Appropriateness

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

process and if it is considered "minor" under Chico Municipal Code section 19.18.030. In all cases where a project is judged to require a Certificate of Appropriateness, it is reviewed by the Architectural Review and Historic Presrvation Board (ARHPB).

#### 2. California Environmental Quality Act

• What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? City Staff works as the Lead Agency to prepare and oversee preparation of all CEQA documents. City Staff provides recommendation to the City's Boards and Commissions while the Board provides input through a public hearing.

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? City Staff review EIRs completed by a consulting team before the document is reviewed by the Board or Commission. Boards and Commissions review all CEQA documents and can amend CEQA findings at public hearings if they desire.

#### 3. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local government? Projects that require Section 106 review usually involve staff processing an initial study that leads to either a negative declaration or mitigated negative declaration. Staff will make recommendations to the Board, Planning Commission, or City Council.
- What is the role of the staff and commission in reviewing Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within
  the jurisdiction of the local government? In unusual cases where City of Chico commentary is required, Section 106
  reviews are forwarded to the appropriate staff in the Public Works and Community Development departments for
  comment and review.

#### II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

#### A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Georgi Bellin	Economics and Real Estate	1/15	1/21	_skycreekrach1@yahoo.com
Dale Bennett	Asset Management	3/19	1/23	djbchico@sbcglobal.net
Rod Jennings	Engineering	2/15	1/23	roddenjames@yahoo.com
Lindsay Poulin	Construction and Engineering	11/19	1/23	lindsaypoulin@yahoo.com
Thomas Thomson	Architecture (Semi-Retired)	1/17	1/21	tltmat@sbcglobal.net
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all members.

- 1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, explain why the professional qualifications not been met and how professional expertise is otherwise being provided. *Ms. Bellin, Mr. Jennings, Ms. Poulin, and Mr. Thompson meet the qualifications.*
- 2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position will be filled? *All vacancies have been filled*.

#### B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

- 1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ If not, who serves as staff? Staff to the Boad is Principle Planner Mike Sawley AICP. The current CLG Coordinator is Assistant Planner Madison Driscoll as of February 2021, during the 2019-2020 report period the CLG Cordinator was Associate Planner Dexter O'Connell.
- 2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? The position is filled.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for staff.

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Madison Driscoll	Assistant Planner	Community Development	madison.driscoll@chicoca.gov

#### C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. If you haven't met at least four times, explain why not.

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Georgi Bellin	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Dale Bennett	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Rod Jennings			$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Lindsay Poulin		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Thomas Thompson	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Joh Howlett (PC Alternate)	$\boxtimes$											
Planner Mike Sawley	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Planner Dexter O'Conell (Former CLG Coordinator)	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
Planner Madison Driscoll (Current CLG Coordinaotr)												
Type here.												

#### D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement is that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/Staff	Training Title & Description	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Name	(including method		_	

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

	presentation, e.g., webinar, workshop)			
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

## III. <u>Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act</u>

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results, including historic contexts, to OHP. (If you have not done so, submit an electronic copy or link if available online with this report.)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
None at this time	N/A	N/A	N/A

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

**NOTE:** The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

Survey Area	Context Based- yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
None at this time.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

How are you using the survey data? N/A

#### IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

#### A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs has the CLG undertaken? How were the commissioners and staff involved? Please provide an electronic link to all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
None at this time.	N/A	N/A

#### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE ANNUAL PRODUCTS REPORTS FOR CLGS

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf. Please read "Guidance for completing the Annual Products Report for CLGs" located at <a href="http://www.nps.gov/clg/2015CLG">http://www.nps.gov/clg/2015CLG</a> GPRA/FY2013 BaselineQuestionnaireGuidance-May2015.docx.

#### A. CLG Inventory Program

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

During the reporting period (October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020) how many historic properties did your local government **add** to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

P	rogram area	Number of Properties added	
N/A	N	one	
Local Register (i.e.,	Local Landmarks and Historic Distr	icts) Program	
	ing period (October 1, 2019-Septembernd/or local districts (or a similar list of	er 30, 2020) did you have a local register program to c designations) created by local law? ☐Yes	reate ⊠ No
If the answer is yet to September 30,	* * *	en added to your register or designated from October 1	, 2018
1. During the report as the Mills Act?	ng period (October 1, 2019-Septembe	r 30, 2020) did you have a Local Tax Incentives Progra	am, sucl
	es, how many properties have been ac	lded to this program from October 1, 2018 to September	er 30,
2019? One			
2019? One  Name of Program	Number of Properties Added Durin 2019-2020	g Total Number of Properties Benefiting From Program	

### D. Local "bricks and mortar" grants/loan program

1. 20uring the reporting period (October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020) did you have a local government historic preservation grant and/or loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? □Yes ⊠No

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020? N/A

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
N/A	None

#### E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020) did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance) authorizing Commission and/or staff review of local government projects or impacts on historic properties? □ Yes ☒ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's historic preservation regulatory law(s) from October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020? N/A

#### F. Local Property Acquisition Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020) did you have a local program to acquire (or help to acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means? □Yes ☒ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2019 to September 30, 202020 N/A

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
N/A	N/A

## IN ADDITION TO THE MINIMUM CLG REQUIREMENTS, OHP IS INTERESTED IN A SUMMARY OF LOCAL PRESERVATION PROGRAMS

A. What are your most critical preservation planning issues? The incremental degradation of local historical properties, owners neglect, and renovation that alters the character structure.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

- B. What is the single accomplishment of your local government this year that has done the most to further preservation in your community? Assisting in the re-designation of the only surviving example of Gothic Revival architectural style building within the City of Chico. Days away from demolition a couple years ago, this house was moved several blocks and respotred with great care. Staff coordinated with local historians, architects, the builder and ownership at critical decision points along the process. It really turned out great!
- C. What recognition are you providing for successful preservation projects or programs? The City works with the Chico Herritage Association (CHA) in selecting preservation awards on their behalf.
- D. What are your local historic preservation goals for 2020-2021? **Provide additional traing to Staff/Board and work with the public to provide outreach on the importance of historical preservation.**
- E. So that we may better serve you in the future, are there specific areas and/or issues with which you could use technical assistance from OHP? Identification of and research into historic material that may be related to early Chico held in other collections, particularly collections of Chinese-language records, would be very worthwhile. Additionally, assistance in researching the history of the Diamond Match Company and partnering with OHP to conduct interviews and research related to that era of Chico's history would be deeply worthwhile.
- F. In what subject areas would you like to see training provided by the OHP? How you like would to see the training delivered (workshops, online, technical assistance bulletins, etc.)?

Training Needed or Desired	Desired Delivery Format
Provide training on the economic importance of historical	Webinars, videos, or any virtual format.
preservation. Training for new members and ehisting	
members on the principle and practices of hisotirc	
preservation and desing review.	

- G. Would you be willing to host a training working workshop in cooperation with OHP? 

  ☐ Yes ☐ No
- H. Is there anything else you would like to share with OHP? I would like to follow up eith OHP Staff about training opportunites or how to better connected with current available resources the State has.

#### XII Attachments (electronic)

# Certified Local Government Program -- 2019-2020 Annual Report (Reporting period is from October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020)

$\square$ Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for <b>all</b> commission members/alternatives and staff
☐ Minutes from commission meetings
□ Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance
□ Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan
□ Public outreach publications

Email to <u>Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov</u>