

Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board Special Memo

Meeting Date 01/20/2021

DATE: January 8, 2021
TO: Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board
FROM: Kelly Murphy, Planner, 530-879-6535, <u>kelly.murphy@chicoca.gov</u> Bruce Ambo, Principal Planner, 530-879-6801, <u>bruce.ambo@chicoca.gov</u>
RE: AR 19-15 (Sloop Medical Building)

Jan Court at Forest Avenue -- APN 002-210-066 et al.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board adopt the required findings contained in the agenda report and approve the project as revised, subject to the recommended conditions.

Proposed Motion

I move that the Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board adopt the required findings contained in the agenda report and approve Architectural Review 19-15 (Sloop Medical Building), as revised and subject to the recommended conditions.

BACKGROUND

At the meeting of August 5, 2020, the ARHPB voted to Continue this project and directed the applicant to provide additional detail of the following specifics:

- 1. Rendering of landscaping, if possible
- 2. Detailed colored elevations emphasizing the logic and coordination of the color scheme
- 3. Details of the window trim
- 4. Materials specified in a clear manner

The applicant provided a revised rendering of the structure consistent with #2 and #3, provided here as **Attachment C**, and stated that the colors, Sherman Williams SW6421 ("Celery") and trim in Sherman Williams SW7008 "Alabaster White" were those that matched the physical color board, **Attachment G**.

Since the date of the last meeting, the building footprint of the proposed medical building was reduced from 5,931 square feet to 4,551 square feet. Per Chico Municipal Code (CMC) Section 19.70.040, the required number of vehicle parking spaces for medical office uses is calculated at a rate of one space per 250 square feet of building area. As a result of the reduction in building size, the number of required parking spaces is 18. Twenty-five parking spaces are proposed on the primary project parcel (APN 002-210-066), satisfying onsite parking requirements. An additional 61 vehicle parking spaces are proposed to serve future office buildings in the complex, for a total of 86 spaces proposed throughout the project site. Future

office buildings shall be subject to architectural review and comply with all development standards of Code, including parking and landscaping requirements. Aside from these changes, all other details of the project remain as discussed in the original ARHPB Report, **Attachment F**, and the City's staff recommendation remains the same.

RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

- 1. All approved building plans and permits shall note on the cover sheet that the project shall comply with AR 19-15 (Sloop Medical Building).
- All wall-mounted utilities and roof or wall penetrations, including vent stacks, utility boxes, exhaust vents, gas meters and similar equipment, shall be screened by appropriate materials and colors. Adequate screening shall be verified by Planning staff in the field prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- 3. Proposed project signage shall be permitted through a separate sign permit in compliance with CMC 19.74 (Signs).
- 4. All pad sites for future buildings and unused portions of the site shall be temporarily landscaped with hydroseeding or other surface-stabilizing treatment.
- 5. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy the applicant shall install landscaping for the subject parcel (APN 002-210-066), and throughout all parts of the parking area constructed concurrently as shown on the landscape plan. These landscape improvements shall be shown on the building plans and shall comply with City of Chico Municipal Code standards, including the provision of irrigation. Such landscaping shall include all tree cover required for the parking area's shade.
- 6. Applicant shall provide a structural cover over the bicycle parking area or relocate the bicycle parking to a covered location within 20 feet of the front building entrance.
- 7. Boulevard trees along Jan Court and Forest Avenue shall be planted in a manner to be approved by the City of Chico Urban Forester.
- 8. All proposed fencing shall have a cap and top rail and shall be constructed of pressuretreated lumber.
- 9. The applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City of Chico, its boards and commissions, officers and employees against and from any and all liabilities, demands, claims, actions or proceedings and costs and expenses incidental thereto (including costs of defense, settlement and reasonable attorney's fees), which any or all of them may suffer, incur, be responsible for or pay out as a result of or in connection with any challenge to or claim regarding the legality, validity, processing or adequacy associated with: (i) this requested entitlement; (ii) the proceedings undertaken in connection with the adoption or approval of this entitlement; (iii) any subsequent approvals or permits relating to this entitlement; (iv) the processing of occupancy permits and (v) any amendments to the approvals for this entitlement. The City of Chico shall promptly notify the applicant of any claim, action or proceeding which may

be filed and shall cooperate fully in the defense, as provided for in Government code section 66474.9.

PUBLIC CONTACT


A notice was posted on the project site and mailed out to all property owners and tenants within 500 feet. The meeting agenda was posted at least 10 days prior to the Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board meeting.

ATTACHMENTS


- A. Location Map
- B. Site Plan
- C. Rendering
- D. Elevations
- E. Landscape Plan
- F. Report for 8/5/2020 ARHPB Meeting
- G. Color and Materials Board

DISTRIBUTION

Jim Crew. PO Box 393, Paradise, CA 95967. jcrewbuilder@yahoo.com Jim Peterson. jim@streamlinechico.com Russ Erickson. russ@robertsonerickson.com Burak Karatekeli. burak@robertsonerickson.com Barbora Hoagland. barborahoagland@outlook.com Randall and Christine Sloop. 2068 Talbert Dr. Suite 150, Chico, CA 95928. sloopchristine@gmail.com PP Ambo SP Sawley File: AR 19-15



Attachment A







Attachment C



Front Elevation



Rear Elevation

Attachment C




Right Elevation


Attachment C



Attachment D



Attachment E



Attachment E

	PLAN	TING LEGEND					
	SYMBOL/ TREES	LATIN NAME/ COMMON NAME	QTY	CONTAINER SIZE	REMARKS	TOTAL SHADE AREA PROVIDED	
ttt-	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	QUERCUS SHUMARDII SHUMARD OAK		24" BOX	MEDIUM WATER USE	1256 SF	
		CEDRUS DEØDARA					
	+			24" BOX	MEDIUM WATER USE	707 SF	
	0	PISTACIA CHINENSIS 'KEITH DAVEY' KEITH DAVEY CHINESE PISTACHE		24" BOX	MEDIUM WATER USE	1256 SF	
		MAGNOLIA X SOULANGEANA 'ALEXANDRINA' ALEXANDRINA MAGNOLIA	4	15 GAL	MEDIUM WATER USE		
		CERCIS CANADENSIS 'FOREST PANSY' FOREST PANSY REDBUD	5	15 GAL	MEDIUM WATER USE		
	+	ACER PALMATUM 'SANGO KAKU' CORAL BARK JAPANESE MAPLE	5	15 GAL	MEDIUM WATER USE		
		CEDRUS DEODARA 'MONKINN' FEELIN' SUNNY DEODAR CEDAR	17	5 GAL	MEDIUM WATER USE		t t
		HETEREMELES ARBUTIFOLIA TOYON	15	5 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		
	(+++)	BUDLEJA DAVIDII 'ROYAL RED' ROYAL RED BUTTERFLY BUSH	21	5 GAL	LOW WATER USE		
		ARCTOSTAPHYLOS DENSIFLORA 'HOWARD MCMINN' MANZANITA	6	5 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		LOT
	﴾	MUHLENBERGIA CAPILLARIS "LENCA" PINK MUHLY	26	1 GAL	LOW WATER USE		
	*	CALAMAGROSTIS X ACUTIFLORA 'KARL FOERSTER' FOERTSER'S FEATHER REED GRASS	47	1 GAL	LOW WATER USE		+ (
	*	PENNISETUM ALOPECUROIDES 'HAMELN' HAMELN FOUNTAIN GRASS	15	1 GAL	LOW WATER USE		
	\bigotimes	BOUTELOUA GRACILIS BLONDE AMBITION BLOND AMBITION BLUE GAMA	29	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		
	9	HEMEROCALLIS X 'STELLA DE ORO' DWARF DAYLILY	64	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		
		SALVIA LEUCANTHA "SANTA BARBARA" SANTA BARBARA SAGE	11	5 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		
		ARCTOSTAPHYLOS 'EMERALD CARPET' CARPET MANZANITA	20	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		
	↓	SEDUM SPECTABILE 'AUTUMN JOY' AUTUMN JOY STONECROP	24	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		
		SALVIA GUARANITICA 'BLACK AND BLUE' BLACK AND BLUE SALVIA	20	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		
	Ø	MONARDA DIDYMA BLUE STOCKING BEE BALM	12	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		

1. PROJECT AREA IS WITHIN ALMENDRA LOAM SOIL TYPE ZONE. 2. EACH PLANTING HOLE TO BE AMENDED BY COMPOST AS FOLLOWS:

- 1 GAL PLANT - 0.5 GAL OF COMPOST

- 5GAL PLANT - 2.5GAL OF COMPOST - 15GAL PLANT - 7.5GAL OF COMPOST

IRRIGATION NOTES:

1. ALL PLANT MATERIAL IS COMPLIANT WITH AB 1881

2. IRRIGATION WILL BE DIVIDED INTO 3 HYDROZONES. ONE (1) HYDROZONE FOR ALL NEW TREES AND MEDIUM WATER USE PLANTS AND ONE HYDROZONE FOR ALL NEW PLANT MATERIAL OTHER THAN TREES. 3RD ZONE WILL IRRIGATE RAISED PLANTER AT THE FRONT ENTRY BOTH HYDROZONES WILL BE ON A DRIP SYSTEM. EACH ZONE WILL BE OPERATED BY AN AUTOMATED

(PLAN)


VALVE WITH A FILTER AND A PRESSURE REGULATOR. PAVEMENT SHADING IN PARKING AREA IN LOT 7

1. NEW PARKING LOT COVERAGE: 9409.9 SF

2. TREE SHADING COVERAGE OVER NEW PARKING LOT: 5685.97 SF


3. TOTAL TREE SHADING PERCENTAGE OVER NEW PARKING LOT: 60.43%

YMBC	DLDESCRIPTION	REMARKS	QT
4	KICHLER 16155AZT27 - 60° - ACCENT LIGHT, 2700K - WARM WHITE	LOW VOLTAGE - 12V 3.5W, 5.25VA	8
\bigtriangledown	KICHLER 16019AZT27 - 35° - ACCENT LIGHT, 2700K - WARM WHITE	LOW VOLTAGE - 12V 12W, 17VA	2
٩	KICHLER 16018AZT - 15° - ACCENT LIGHT, 2700K - WARM WHITE	LOW VOLTAGE - 12V 12W, 17VA	8
Т	KICHLER 15DC300 DESIGN PRO LED CONTROLLER (TOTAL LOAD WITH ALL FIXTURES ABOVE - 223.6W)	EXISTING	1



Attachment E

	SYMBOL/	LATIN NAME/ COMMON NAME		QTY	CONTAINER SIZE	REMARKS	TOTAL SHAI AREA PROV				
/						}		$-\uparrow$	\sum		
	+	` QUERCUS SHUMARDII SHUMARD OAK		6) 24" BOX		1256 SF				
+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++					USE					_
```	× *										
	+	L TCEDRUS DEODARA TDEQDAR CEDAR		5	24" BOX		707 SF				
						USE		BUILDING			
SAT C		PISTACIA CHINENSIS 'KEITH DAVE KEITH DAVEY CHINESE PISTACHE	ΞΥ'		24" BOX	MEDIUM WATER USE	1256 SF	EXISTING BI			
		MAGNOLIA X SOULANGEANA 'ALEXANDRINA' ALEXANDRINA MAGNOLIA		4	15 GAL	MEDIUM WATER USE			HHH EWALK	┿╪╘┾╪╤ ╵ ╵	Z F,
		CERCIS CANADENSIS 'FOREST PA FOREST PANSY REDBUD	NSY'	5	15 GAL	MEDIUM WATER USE				+	C 4.
		ACER PALMATUM 'SANGO KAKU CORAL BARK JAPANESE MAPLE	ľ	5	15 GAL	MEDIUM WATER USE		ISPHAZI-	XXXX		-
		CEDRUS DEODARA 'MONKINN' FEELIN' SUNNY DEODAR CEDAR		17	5 GAL	MEDIUM WATER USE				+	#
		HETEREMELES ARBUTIFOLIA TOYON		15	5 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		ŧ	×××××	+++++++	+
	( <del>+</del> )	BUDLEJA DAVIDII 'ROYAL RED' ROYAL RED BUTTERFLY BUSH		21	5 GAL	LOW WATER USE					
		ARCTOSTAPHYLOS DENSIFLORA MCMINN' MANZANITA	'howard	6	5 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE			SIDEWALK		
	×	MUHLENBERGIA CAPILLARIS "LENG PINK MUHLY	CA"	26	1 GAL	LOW WATER USE		EXISTING BUILDING	EXISTING SIDE		
	*	CALAMAGROSTIS X ACUTIFLORA FOERSTER' FOERTSER'S FEATHER REED GRAS		47	1 GAL	LOW WATER USE		EXIST			
	⋇	PENNISETUM ALOPECUROIDES 'H. HAMELN FOUNTAIN GRASS	AMELN'	15	1 GAL	LOW WATER USE			SBC		
	$\otimes$	BOUTELOUA GRACILIS BLONDE A BLOND AMBITION BLUE GAMA	MBITION	29	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE			َى مى	PG&E	
	ଡ	HEMEROCALLIS X 'STELLA DE ORC DWARF DAYLILY	)'	64	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		= = = = = = SD		 & 	-
	$\odot$	SALVIA LEUCANTHA "SANTA BAR SANTA BARBARA SAGE	BARA"	11	5 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		9.51 ) = 226.0 ) = 226.0 = 225.87	09 03 7		
	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	ARCTOSTAPHYLOS 'EMERALD CA CARPET MANZANITA	RPET'	20	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE		C	SS	<del> SS</del>	<del>,</del>
	*	SEDUM SPECTABILE 'AUTUMN JO' AUTUMN JOY STONECROP		24	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE					
	$\odot$	SALVIA GUARANITICA 'BLACK AN BLACK AND BLUE SALVIA	id blue'	20	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE					
	Ø	MONARDA DIDYMA BLUE STOCKING BEE BALM		12	1 GAL	VERY LOW WATER USE			$\bigcap$		-
	(20)	HYDROSEED AREAS	HYDROSE	ED PARCEL 1	TO 6. CONTA	CT GORDON P	ETERS		$\langle S \rangle$		
			W/EMER	ALD INC. AT (		IFOR HYDROSE				EE #	
l	PLANTING N								<pre>}</pre>	1	_
	1. PROJECT A	REA IS WITHIN ALMENDRA LC			LOWS:				>	2	
		GAL PLANT - 0.5GAL OF COA GAL PLANT - 2.5GAL OF COA							$\left\{ - \right\}$	3	
	- 1	5GAL PLANT - 7.5GAL OF CC	DMPOST						$\left\{ \left  - \right  \right\}$	5	
	IRRIGATION 1	NOTES: MATERIAL IS COMPLIANT WIT	TH AB 188	1					$\left  \right $	6	_
	2. Irrigatioi Medium Wa	n will be divided into 3 hy ter use plants and one hy	(DROZON (DROZON	es. one (1)h e for all ne	W PLANT MAT				$\sum$	7	_
	BOTH HYDRO	ONE WILL IRRIGATE RAISED PI DZONES WILL BE ON A DRIP S	SYSTEM. E	ACH ZONE W		ED BY AN AUTO	DMATED		<pre>&gt;</pre>	8	
	VALVE WITH	A FILTER AND A PRESSURE REG	GULATOR.						$\left  \right $	9	
-		HADING IN PARKING AREA							$\rangle \models$	10	
	2. TREE SHAD	ING COVERAGE OVER NEW I E SHADING PERCENTAGE OVE	PARKING							12	
									$\rangle \square$	13	
										10	



Attachment E



Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board Agenda Report

Meeting Date 8/5/2020

DATE:	June 29, 2020	File: AR 19-15
TO:	Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board	
FROM:	Dexter O'Connell, Associate Planner 530-879-6810, dexter.oconnell@chicoca.gov	
RE:	AR 19-15 (Sloop Medical Building) Jan Court at Forest Avenue APN 002-210-066 et al.	

### RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board adopt the required findings contained in the agenda report and approve the project, subject to the recommended conditions.

### Proposed Motion

I move that the Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board adopt the required findings contained in the agenda report and approve Architectural Review 19-15 (Sloop Medical Building), subject to the recommended conditions.

## BACKGROUND

The applicant proposes construction of a medical office building of approximately 5,931 square feet on a 2.09 acre site consisting of seven parcels at the northwest corner of Jan Court and Forest Avenue (see **Attachment A**, Location Map). Proposed along with the building are parking areas for an entire future office complex and various other site improvements. Access would be provided from both Jan Court on the principal parcel and Forest Avenue on a secondary driveway to the west. The site is designated Office Mixed Use on the City's General Plan Land Use Diagram and zoned OC (Office Commercial).

The proposed building would be set back from the intersection with landscaped areas in front and its parking area behind (see **Attachment B**, Site Plan). Additional new parking areas would extend through the site to the west. Twenty-three parking spaces are required for the new use, and 20 are provided wholly on the instant parcel, with five more partially present. 61 more would serve future buildings in the complex. With approximately 20,000 square feet of additional office space remaining, ultimate build out of the complex will likely be slightly overparked. Four bike parking spaces are provided in uncovered racks.

The proposed building would have a modern appearance (see **Attachment C**, Rendering) with some rustic-looking highlights. The primary entrance would be on the north side of the building, distinguished by a grand entrance portico. All elevations would share a blue-and-buff color scheme (see **Attachment D**, Color Board), with tasteful faux-structural elements visible at gable ends. The building is simple, traditional, and professional.

The conceptual landscape plan proposes a variety of trees including deodar cedar and toyon, but no tree would predominate near the structure (see **Attachment E**, Landscape Plan). Shrubs and groundcover would meet the City's requirements, and eight new street

trees would be planted as well. Condition #5 has been added to require landscaping of the entire parking lot concurrent with its construction, so that parking lot shade and other landscaping requirements are met. A new trash enclosure would be located northeast of the building. The enclosure would be CMU block covered with a metal shed roof. Condenser units would be ground mounted on the side of the building facing Forest Avenue, screened by a wall appropriate for the commercial context.

# DISCUSSION

The proposal would result in the initial development of a future office complex in an area comprised of similar office complexes. The proposal is consistent with several General Plan policies, importantly those that encourage compatible infill development and development with a focus on health for a broad spectrum of residents (LU-4.2, LU-4.4, CD-5, and PPFS-7). There are no specific plans for the graded site, which sits at a slightly higher elevation than its surroundings.

The project is broadly consistent with the City's adopted Design Guidelines (DGs). The building design is characterized by an aesthetically pleasing variety of elements. The design is straightforward, but accents appear meaningful and intentional, and have the effect of lightening the load of the viewer. The building design offers continuity throughout all four elevations in both colors and materials, consistent with DGs 1.2.22 and 3.2.33. The project incorporates appropriate massing, fenestration, and materials (DG 2.2.11), and avoids a monotonous roof design (DG 2.2.25).

The design is challenged by several DGs that encourage a pedestrian orientation and discourage providing excessive automobile parking (DGs 1.1.13, 1.1.14, 1.1.15 and 2.1.27). It is likely that consistency will eventually be achieved as structures are developed on other parcels in this development, but that is not certain, and the risk is meaningful. In the interim, Condition #4 is recommended to meet requirements for temporary landscaping on unused portions of the site.

The design encourages visitors to walk through the parking area to enter the building, which is not surprising due to the lack of on-street parking but nonetheless limits consistency with DGs 2.1.21 and 2.1.22. Bicycle parking is located close to the main entrance (DG 2.1.32), though it would not be covered and protected from the elements as encouraged by DG 2.1.31. The scale and character of the project would not overwhelm the neighborhood (DG 1.2.13), and its massing, scale, and form respond to the context of surrounding structures (DG 3.2.12).

To achieve better consistency with Design Guidelines, Condition #6 has been added to require a structural cover over the bicycle parking area, and Condition #5 would require landscaping of the entire parking lot, not just the portion nearest the new structure. Because of consistency with the surrounding auto-oriented neighborhood context, it is somewhat justified for the site design to be auto-oriented. With conditions to improve DG consistency, staff supports approval of the project.

As conditioned, the proposed plan meets all applicable setback, parking, and landscaping requirements, and will also facilitate orderly development and circulation in the area. No tree removal is proposed, as the site is not treed.

## REQUIRED FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL

#### Environmental Review

The project has been determined to be categorically exempt under Section 1.40.220 of the Chico Municipal Code, and pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15332 (Infill Development Projects). This exemption applies to infill projects which are consistent with the general plan and zoning; are on sites less than five acres in size within the City limits; are substantially surrounded by urban uses; have no value as habitat for endangered, rare, or threatened species; would not create any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

### Architectural Review

According to Chico Municipal Code Section 19.18.060, the Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board shall determine whether or not a project adequately meets adopted City standards and design guidelines, based upon the following findings:

1. The proposed development is consistent with the General Plan, any applicable specific plan, and any applicable neighborhood or area plans.

The proposal is consistent with several General Plan policies, particularly those that encourage compatible infill development (LU-4.2, LU-4.4, and CD-5) and health services in a broad spectrum of the community (PPFS-7.2). There is no applicable specific plan.

2. The proposed development, including the character, scale, and quality of design are consistent with the purpose/intent of this chapter and any adopted design guidelines.

The project, as conditioned, is consistent with the City's adopted Design Guidelines. The design is straightforward but worthwhile with meaningful and intentional-seeming accents that give it an inherent appeal. The scale of the proposed building is consistent with the surrounding area, which features primarily single-story structures.

3. The architectural design of structures, including all elevations, materials and colors are visually compatible with surrounding development. Design elements, including screening of equipment, exterior lighting, signs, and awnings, have been incorporated into the project to further ensure its compatibility with the character and uses of adjacent development.

The proposed structure's orientation away from the street is compatible with surrounding development, as noted in the discussion above. Significant space is left for potential future development, and this structure's design is coordinated to welcome that, while also being attractive in an interim or permanent state of those portions of the property being

vacant.

Appropriate lighting is proposed, and exterior equipment will be properly screened from view by a stucco or other type of wall that is appropriate for the commercial setting.

4. The location and configuration of structures are compatible with their sites and with surrounding sites and structures, and do not unnecessarily block views from other structures or dominate their surroundings.

The location and configuration of the building and grounds are compatible with the surrounding development, which is primarily auto-oriented. The proposed structure does not represent a change to the principal development pattern of the area. While views of open area will be blocked or altered by this structure, the blocking is an inevitable result of developing the parcel, no design could avoid it completely. This parcel is also on higher ground than its surroundings, so even a modest design like this will have some inherently dominating characteristics.

5. The general landscape design, including the color, location, size, texture, type, and coverage of plant materials, and provisions for irrigation and maintenance, and protection of landscape elements, have been considered to ensure visual relief, to complement structures, and to provide an attractive environment.

The proposed landscaping will provide visual relief around the new parking area, and the substantial variety of new trees will be an important contributor to the proposed development. The variety of trees selected will provide an attractive environment with water use patterns that meet the city's requirements. Requiring the landscaping of the entire parking lot now will help to ensure that the property is visually appealing even if other parcels are not developed immediately.

## **RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

- 1. All approved building plans and permits shall note on the cover sheet that the project shall comply with AR 19-15 (Sloop Medical Building). The approval documents for this project are date stamped August 5, 2020.
- 2. All wall-mounted utilities and roof or wall penetrations, including vent stacks, utility boxes, exhaust vents, gas meters and similar equipment, shall be screened by appropriate materials and colors. Adequate screening shall be verified by Planning staff in the field prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy.
- 3. Proposed project signage shall be permitted through a separate sign permit in compliance with CMC 19.74 (Signs).
- 4. All pad sites for future buildings and unused portions of the site shall be temporarily landscaped with hydroseeding or other surface-stabilizing treatment.
- 5. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy the applicant shall install landscaping for the subject parcel (APN 002-210-066), and throughout all parts of the parking area

constructed concurrently as shown on the landscape plan. These landscape improvements shall be shown on the building plans and shall comply with City of Chico Municipal Code standards, including the provision of irrigation. Such landscaping shall include all tree cover required for the parking area's shade.

- 6. Applicant shall provide a structural cover over the bicycle parking area or relocate the bicycle parking to a covered location within 20 feet of the front building entrance.
- 7. Boulevard trees along Jan Court and Forest Avenue shall be planted in a manner to be approved by the City of Chico Urban Forester.
- 8. All proposed fencing shall have a cap and top rail and shall be constructed of pressure-treated lumber.
- 9. The applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City of Chico, its boards and commissions, officers and employees against and from any and all liabilities, demands, claims, actions or proceedings and costs and expenses incidental thereto (including costs of defense, settlement and reasonable attorney's fees), which any or all of them may suffer, incur, be responsible for or pay out as a result of or in connection with any challenge to or claim regarding the legality, validity, processing or adequacy associated with: (i) this requested entitlement; (ii) the proceedings undertaken in connection with the adoption or approval of this entitlement; (iii) any subsequent approvals or permits relating to this entitlement; (iv) the processing of occupancy permits and (v) any amendments to the approvals for this entitlement. The City of Chico shall promptly notify the applicant of any claim, action or proceeding which may be filed and shall cooperate fully in the defense, as provided for in Government code section 66474.9.

## **PUBLIC CONTACT**

A notice was published in the Chico Enterprise Record 10 days prior to the meeting date, notices were mailed out to all property owners and tenants within 500 feet of the project site, and a notice was placed on the project site. The meeting agenda was posted at least 10 days prior to the Architectural Review and Historic Preservation Board meeting.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- A. Location Map
- B. Site Plan
- C. Rendering
- D. Elevations
- E. Landscape Plan

## DISTRIBUTION

Jim Crew. PO Box 393, Paradise, CA 95967. jcrewbuilder@yahoo.com Jim Peterson. jim@streamlinechico.com Russ Erickson. russ@robertsonerickson.com Burak Karatekeli. burak@robertsonerickson.com Barbora Hoagland. barborahoagland@outlook.com Randall and Christine Sloop. 2068 Talbert Dr. Suite 150, Chico, CA 95928. AR 19-15 (Sloop Medical Building) ARHPB Mtg. 8/5/2020 Page of 6 of 6

sloopchristine@gmail.com PP Ambo SP Sawley File: AR 19-15