(Reporting period is from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019)

INSTRUCTIONS: This a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened.

Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to <u>Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov</u>. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select Create and Attach to Email. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than 10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

Name of CLG

City of Chico

Report Prepared by: Dexter N. O'Connell Date of commission/board review: February 19, 2020

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATION

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

- 1. What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals. **REMINDER**: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status. No changes are under consideration at this time.
- Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal/zoning code.
 http://library.amlegal.com/nxt/gateway.dll/California/chico_ca/title19landuseanddevelopmentregulations1/divisioniiilanduseanddevelopmentpermitpr/chapter1937historicpreservation?f=templates\$fn=default.htm\$3.0\$vid=amlegal:chico_ca\$anc=J
 D Chapter19.37

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019)

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated under local ordinance, HPOZ, etc.)

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2018 – September 30, 2019, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder	
None	N/A	N/A	N/A	

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, "the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof."

2. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors?

Property Name/Address	Date Removed	Reason
None	N/A	N/A

C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

 Do you address historic preservation in your general plan? ☐ Yes, in a separate historic preservation element. 	□ No⋈ Yes, it is included in another element.
Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of element.	

D. Review Responsibilities

 \square All projects subject to design review go the commission.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019)

⊠ Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? Staff determines if a project is exempt from the Certificate of Appropriateness process and is considered "minor" under Chico Municipal Code section 19.18.030. In all cases where a project is judged to require a Certificate of Appropriateness, it is forwarded to the ARHPB.

2. California Environmental Quality Act

• What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? As the Lead Agency, City staff prepares or oversees preparation of all CEQA documents. The City's Boards and Commissions can amend the CEQA findings at hearings if they desire.

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? Boards and Commissions review all CEQA documents. On EIRs, staff reviews the document after it is completed by the consulting team and before it is reviewed by the Boards or commissions. The City's boards and commissions can amend the CEQA findings at hearings if they desire.

3. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local government? As a local government, City staff, boards, and commissions rarely play a role in Section 106 compliance requirements. When federal funding or clearance is required, City staff play a supporting role in providing input for federal agency compliance.
- What is the role of the staff and commission in reviewing Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within
 the jurisdiction of the local government? In the exceedingly unusual cases in which City of Chico commentary is
 required, projects requiring Section 106 review are forwarded to appropriate staff in the Community Development or
 Public Works departments to provide that input.

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019)

Georgie Bellin	Economics and Real Estate	1/15	1/21	skycreekranch1@yahoo.com
Dale Bennett	Asset Management	3/19	1/23	djbchico@sbcglobal.net
Rod Jennings	Engineering	2/15	1/23	roddenjames@yahoo.com
Lindsay Poulin	Construction and Engineering	11/19	1/23	lindsaypoulin@yahoo.com
Thomas Thomson	Architecture (Semi-Retired)	1/17	1/21	tltmat@sbcglobal.net
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all members.

- 1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, explain why the professional qualifications not been met and how professional expertise is otherwise being provided. *The qualifications are being met by Ms. Bellin, Mr. Jennings, Ms. Poulin, and Mr. Thomson.*
- 2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position will be filled? *All vacancies have been filled*.

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

- 1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ If not, who serves as staff? Staff to the Board is Senior Planner Mike Sawley, CLG Coordinator is Associate Planner Dexter N. O'Connell.
- 2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? The position is filled.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019)

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for staff.

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Dexter N. O'Connell	Associate Planner	Community Development	Dexter.oconnell@chicoca.gov

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. If you haven't met at least four times, explain why not.

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
S. Planner Mike Sawley	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
A. Planner Dexter O'Connell									\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Georgie Bellin	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
Dale Bennett					\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Rod Jennings			\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		
Lindsey Poulin												
Thomas Thomson	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
John Howlett (Alternate)												\boxtimes
Dan Irving (Resigned)	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Evan Tuchinsky (Resigned)	\boxtimes											

D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement is that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/Staff	Training Title & Description	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Name	(including method		_	

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019)

	presentation, e.g., webinar, workshop)			
All Commissioners	Ralph M. Brown Act Training	One Day	City of Chico	2019
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

III. <u>Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act</u>

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results, including historic contexts, to OHP. (If you have not done so, submit an electronic copy or link if available online with this report.)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
None in this period.	N/A	N/A	N/A

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

Survey Area	Context Based- yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
None in this period.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

How are you using the survey data? Type here.

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs has the CLG undertaken? How were the commissioners and staff involved? Please provide an electronic link to all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
None in this period.	N/A	N/A

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE ANNUAL PRODUCTS REPORTS FOR CLGS

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf. Please read "Guidance for completing the Annual Products Report for CLGs" located at http://www.nps.gov/clg/2015CLG GPRA/FY2013 BaselineQuestionnaireGuidance-May2015.docx.

A. CLG Inventory Program

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019)

During the reporting period (October 1, 2018-September 30, 2019) how many historic properties did your local government **add** to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

Р	rogram area	Number of Properties added	
Local register	Or	e	
B. Local Register (i.e.,	Local Landmarks and Historic Distric	cts) Program	
•	ing period (October 1, 2018-September nd/or local districts (or a similar list of d	30, 2019) did you have a local register progesignations) created by local law? ⊠Yes	
2. If the answer is ye to September 30,		n added to your register or designated from C	October 1, 2018
1. During the reporti as the Mills Act?	ng period (October 1, 2018-September	30, 2019) did you have a Local Tax Incentive	s Program, such
2. If the answer is ye 2019? Two	es, how many properties have been add	ed to this program from October 1, 2018 to S	September 30,
Name of Program	Number of Properties Added During 2018-2019	Total Number of Properties Benefiting From Program	
Mills Act	Two	Nine	

D. Local "bricks and mortar" grants/loan program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2018-September 30, 2019) did you have a local government historic preservation grant and/or loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? □Yes ☒No

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019)

2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019? *N/A*

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
N/A	N/A

E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2018-September 30, 2019) did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance) authorizing Commission and/or staff review of local government projects or impacts on historic properties?

 ☑ Yes □ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's historic preservation regulatory law(s) from October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019? One

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

- 1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2018-September 30, 2019) did you have a local program to acquire (or help to acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means? □Yes ☒ No
- 2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019? **N/A**

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited	
N/A	N/A	

IN ADDITION TO THE MINIMUM CLG REQUIREMENTS, OHP IS INTERESTED IN A SUMMARY OF LOCAL PRESERVATION PROGRAMS

A. What are your most critical preservation planning issues? Ensuring that necessary fire-related reforms and preparation for future disasters do not compromise our existing Historic Preservation program.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019)

- B. What is the single accomplishment of your local government this year that has done the most to further preservation in your community? The first parts of an agreement between the City, California Water Service Company, and several providers of wireless service went into effect, preserving two historic water towers at the corner of 3rd Street and Orient Street in Downtown Chico.
- C. What recognition are you providing for successful preservation projects or programs? The City appreciates and recognizes the efforts of local groups including the Chico History Museum, the Museum of Northern California Art, and the Chico Heritage Association to preserve and highlight the gems of Chico's historic or interesting architecture.
- D. What are your local historic preservation goals for 2018-2019? **Provide on-going training to staff and board and provide public outreach related to historic preservation.**
- E. So that we may better serve you in the future, are there specific areas and/or issues with which you could use technical assistance from OHP? Identification of and research into historic material that may be related to early Chico held in other collections, particularly collections of Chinese-language records, would be very worthwhile. Additionally, assistance in researching the history of the Diamond Match Company and partnering with OHP to conduct interviews and research related to that era of Chico's history would be deeply worthwhile.
- F. In what subject areas would you like to see training provided by the OHP? How you like would to see the training delivered (workshops, online, technical assistance bulletins, etc.)?

Training Needed or Desired	Desired Delivery Format
Partnering with local educational institutions	Discussion with OHP staff and technical assistance bulletins with examples of governments who have made such successful partnerships.

- G. Would you be willing to host a training working workshop in cooperation with OHP?

 ⊠Yes □ No
- H. Is there anything else you would like to share with OHP? I'd love to follow up with OHP Staff about the training opportunities or how to better connect with resources the State has available.

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2019)

XII Attachments (electronic)

☐ Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all commission members/alternatives and staff
☐ Minutes from commission meetings
☐ Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance
☐ Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan
☐ Public outreach publications

Email to <u>Lucinda.Woodward@parks.ca.gov</u>